## Lambda Evolution - Past, Present and Future

Y. Bernat

Core C++ Meetup, Dec. 2017

### Outline

#### Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

▶ A new way (C++11) to write a function

- ightharpoonup A new way (C++11) to write a function
- ► Can be defined locally (while "normal" functions can't)

- ightharpoonup A new way (C++11) to write a function
- ► Can be defined locally (while "normal" functions can't)
- ► That's all

- ightharpoonup A new way (C++11) to write a function
- ► Can be defined locally (while "normal" functions can't)
- ► That's all :)

### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

#### Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

 STL includes many algorithms for common actions on a collection

- STL includes many algorithms for common actions on a collection
  - std::find\_if find the first item that matches a criteria

- STL includes many algorithms for common actions on a collection
  - std::find\_if find the first item that matches a criteria
  - std::copy\_if copy all items that match a criteria

- STL includes many algorithms for common actions on a collection
  - std::find\_if find the first item that matches a criteria
  - std::copy\_if copy all items that match a criteria
  - **.**..

- STL includes many algorithms for common actions on a collection
  - std::find\_if find the first item that matches a criteria
  - std::copy\_if copy all items that match a criteria
  - **.**
- How do we pass the criteria to the algorithm?

```
bool between3and7 (int x) {
    return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
3
  }
```

```
bool between3and7 (int x) {
    return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
3
  }
  void f () {
5
    std::vector<int> v;
6
    // ... fill v with data ...
    auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
```

```
bool between3and7 (int x) {
    return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
  }
  void f () {
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
    // ... fill v with data ...
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                            &between3and7);
```

```
bool between3and7 (int x) {
     return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
   }
   void f () {
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
     // ... fill v with data ...
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                             &between3and7);
9
     if (i != v.end()) {
10
       std::cout << "First item between 3 and 7 is "
11
                  << *i << '\n';
     }
12
```

```
bool between3and7 (int x) {
     return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
3
   void f () {
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
     // ... fill v with data ...
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                             &between3and7);
9
     if (i != v.end()) {
10
       std::cout << "First item between 3 and 7 is "
11
                  << *i << '\n':
12
     }
13
     std::vector<int> v2;
14
     std::copy if (v.begin(), v.end(),
15
                    std::back inserter(v2),
```

```
bool between3and7 (int x) {
     return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
3
   }
   void f () {
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
     // ... fill v with data ...
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                             &between3and7);
9
     if (i != v.end()) {
10
       std::cout << "First item between 3 and 7 is "
11
                  << *i << '\n':
12
     }
13
     std::vector<int> v2;
14
     std::copy if (v.begin(), v.end(),
15
                    std::back inserter(v2),
16
                    &between3and7);
17
```

Pros:

- Pros:
  - ► It's simple

- Pros:
  - ► It's simple
  - ► It's well known

- Pros:
  - ► It's simple
  - ► It's well known
- ► Cons:

- Pros:
  - ► It's simple
  - It's well known
- Cons:
  - ▶ We need a new one for each case (e.g. between0and42)

- Pros:
  - ► It's simple
  - ► It's well known
- ► Cons:
  - ▶ We need a new one for each case (e.g. between0and42)
  - We have to find the correct scope to define it

- ► Pros:
  - ► It's simple
  - ► It's well known
- ► Cons:
  - ▶ We need a new one for each case (e.g. between0and42)
  - We have to find the correct scope to define it
  - We need to find a good name each time

- ► Pros:
  - ► It's simple
  - ► It's well known
- ► Cons:
  - ▶ We need a new one for each case (e.g. between0and42)
  - We have to find the correct scope to define it
  - ▶ We need to find a good name each time
  - ▶ The logic is spread over the code instead of being local

### Find Good Names





There are two hard things in computer science: cache invalidation, naming things, and off-by-one errors.



► Templates are heavily-used with STL algorithms

- ▶ Templates are heavily-used with STL algorithms
- ▶ The predicate doesn't have to be a function pointer

- Templates are heavily-used with STL algorithms
- ▶ The predicate doesn't have to be a function pointer
- ▶ It can be anything the "behaves like a function" anything that we can use () on it

- Templates are heavily-used with STL algorithms
- ▶ The predicate doesn't have to be a function pointer
- ▶ It can be anything the "behaves like a function" anything that we can use () on it
- A pointer to a function is a good fit but not the only one

- Templates are heavily-used with STL algorithms
- The predicate doesn't have to be a function pointer
- ▶ It can be anything the "behaves like a function" anything that we can use () on it
- A pointer to a function is a good fit but not the only one
- ► We can overload the call operator () of a class so any object of this type is also "behaves like a function"

- Templates are heavily-used with STL algorithms
- ▶ The predicate doesn't have to be a function pointer
- ▶ It can be anything the "behaves like a function" anything that we can use () on it
- A pointer to a function is a good fit but not the only one
- We can overload the call operator () of a class so any object of this type is also "behaves like a function"
- Such an object is commonly known as "function object" or "functor" (even if this name isn't accurate)

## Functor Example

```
struct Between {
```

## Functor Example

```
struct Between {
          int m_1, m_h;
3  Between(int 1, int h) : r
4  bool operator()(int x) {
5   return m_1 <= x && x <=</pre>
```

## Functor Example

```
struct Between {
    int m_1, m_h;
3
    Between(int 1, int h) : m_1(1), m_h(h) {}
```

```
struct Between {
    int m_1, m_h;
3
    Between(int 1, int h) : m_1(1), m_h(h) {}
  bool operator()(int x) {
```

```
struct Between {
    int m_1, m_h;
3
    Between(int 1, int h) : m_1(1), m_h(h) {}
  bool operator()(int x) {
5
      return m 1 <= x && x <= m h;
6
  };
```

```
struct Between {
    int m_1, m_h;
3
    Between(int 1, int h) : m_l(1), m_h(h) {}
   bool operator()(int x) {
5
       return m_1 <= x && x <= m_h;
6
7 };
8 // ...
   auto i = std::find if(v.begin(), v.end(),
```

```
struct Between {
     int m_1, m_h;
3
   Between(int 1, int h) : m_1(1), m_h(h) {}
   bool operator()(int x) {
5
       return m_1 <= x && x <= m_h;
6
7 };
8 // ...
   auto i = std::find_if(v.begin(), v.end(),
10
                         Between(3, 7);
```

```
struct Between {
    int m_l, m_h;
3
   Between(int 1, int h) : m_1(1), m_h(h) {}
4 bool operator()(int x) {
5
       return m_1 <= x && x <= m_h;
6
7 };
8 // ...
   auto i = std::find_if(v.begin(), v.end(),
10
                         Between(3, 7);
11
   auto j = std::find if(v.begin(), v.end(),
```

```
struct Between {
    int m_l, m_h;
3
   Between(int 1, int h) : m_1(1), m_h(h) {}
4 bool operator()(int x) {
5
       return m 1 <= x && x <= m h;
6
7 };
8 // ...
   auto i = std::find_if(v.begin(), v.end(),
10
                         Between(3, 7);
11
   auto j = std::find if(v.begin(), v.end(),
12
                         Between(0, 42));
```

Pros:

- ► Pros:
  - ► More generic one for multiple cases

- Pros:
  - ► More generic one for multiple cases
- Cons:

- Pros:
  - ► More generic one for multiple cases
- ► Cons:
  - We (still) have to find the correct scope to define it

- Pros:
  - More generic one for multiple cases
- Cons:
  - We (still) have to find the correct scope to define it
  - ▶ We (still) need to find a good name each time

- Pros:
  - More generic one for multiple cases
- ► Cons:
  - ▶ We (still) have to find the correct scope to define it
  - ▶ We (still) need to find a good name each time
  - ▶ The logic is (still) spread over the code instead of being local

- Pros:
  - More generic one for multiple cases
- Cons:
  - ▶ We (still) have to find the correct scope to define it
  - ▶ We (still) need to find a good name each time
  - ► The logic is (still) spread over the code instead of being local
  - A lot of boilerplate

### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + + 14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

▶ Added to C++11

- ▶ Added to C++11
- ► Basic syntax [ ]( ){ }

- ▶ Added to C++11
- ► Basic syntax [ ]( ){ }
- ▶ [ ] "Lambda function introducer" (not a real formal term)

- ▶ Added to C++11
- ▶ Basic syntax [ ]( ){ }
- ▶ [ ] "Lambda function introducer" (not a real formal term)
  - ► Also for capturing, which we'll discuss later

- ▶ Added to C++11
- ▶ Basic syntax [ ]( ){ }
- ▶ [ ] "Lambda function introducer" (not a real formal term)
  - Also for capturing, which we'll discuss later
- ( ) for parameters, as in every function (but usually can be omitted if empty)

- ▶ Added to C++11
- ▶ Basic syntax [ ]( ){ }
- ▶ [ ] "Lambda function introducer" (not a real formal term)
  - ► Also for capturing, which we'll discuss later
- ( ) for parameters, as in every function (but usually can be omitted if empty)
- { } the (lambda) function body

Pros:

- Pros:
  - ► Written inline, so:

- ► Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - ▶ No need to find the correct scope to define it

- ► Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - No need to find the correct scope to define it
  - ▶ No need to find a good name each time

- ► Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - No need to find the correct scope to define it
  - ▶ No need to find a good name each time
  - The logic is now local, easy to read and to reason about

- Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - No need to find the correct scope to define it
  - No need to find a good name each time
  - The logic is now local, easy to read and to reason about
  - No boilerplate, or at least a minimal one

- Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - No need to find the correct scope to define it
  - No need to find a good name each time
  - The logic is now local, easy to read and to reason about
  - No boilerplate, or at least a minimal one
- ► Cons:

- Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - No need to find the correct scope to define it
  - No need to find a good name each time
  - The logic is now local, easy to read and to reason about
  - No boilerplate, or at least a minimal one
- Cons:
  - We lost the generality achieved with functor

- Pros:
  - Written inline, so:
  - No need to find the correct scope to define it
  - No need to find a good name each time
  - The logic is now local, easy to read and to reason about
  - No boilerplate, or at least a minimal one
- ► Cons:
  - We lost the generality achieved with functor
- Did we lost even the simplest reuse we had with functions?

```
void f () {
```

```
void f () {
  auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
```

```
void f () {
     auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
3
       return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
4
    };
```

```
void f () {
     auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
3
       return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
4
    };
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
    // ... fill v with data ...
7
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
```

```
void f () {
     auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
3
       return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
4
    };
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
    // ... fill v with data ...
7
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                             between3and7):
```

```
void f () {
     auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
3
       return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
4
     };
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
     // ... fill v with data ...
7
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                              between3and7):
9
     if (i != v.end()) {
10
       std::cout << "First item between 3 and 7 is "
11
                  << *i << '\n':
12
```

```
void f () {
     auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
3
       return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
4
     };
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
     // ... fill v with data ...
7
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                              between3and7);
9
     if (i != v.end()) {
10
       std::cout << "First item between 3 and 7 is "
11
                  << *i << '\n':
12
     }
13
     std::vector<int> v2;
14
     std::copy if (v.begin(), v.end(),
15
                    std::back inserter(v2),
```

```
void f () {
     auto between3and7 = [] (int x) {
3
       return 3 <= x && x <= 7;
4
     };
5
     std::vector<int> v;
6
     // ... fill v with data ...
7
     auto i = std::find if (v.begin(), v.end(),
8
                              between3and7);
9
     if (i != v.end()) {
10
       std::cout << "First item between 3 and 7 is "
11
                  << *i << '\n':
12
     }
13
     std::vector<int> v2;
14
     std::copy if (v.begin(), v.end(),
15
                    std::back inserter(v2),
16
                    between3and7);
17
```

### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

▶ What about declaring the return type?

- ▶ What about declaring the return type?
- ▶ Auto deduced from the single (or no) return statement

- What about declaring the return type?
- Auto deduced from the single (or no) return statement
- Can be mentioned explicitly

- What about declaring the return type?
- Auto deduced from the single (or no) return statement
- Can be mentioned explicitly
  - For cases where the auto deducing result isn't desired

- What about declaring the return type?
- Auto deduced from the single (or no) return statement
- Can be mentioned explicitly
  - For cases where the auto deducing result isn't desired
  - For cases with multiple return statements

- What about declaring the return type?
- Auto deduced from the single (or no) return statement
- Can be mentioned explicitly
  - For cases where the auto deducing result isn't desired
  - For cases with multiple return statements
- Uses "trailing return type" style (available also for regular functions)

```
class Logger {
private:
    std::ostream& m_stream;
public:
    Logger(std::ostream& stream)
    : m_stream(stream) {}
    // Some actually useful methods here
};
```

```
class Logger {
private:
std::ostream& m_stream;
public:
Logger(std::ostream& stream)
: m_stream(stream) {}
// Some actually useful methods here
};
```

```
class Logger {
private:
std::ostream& m_stream;
public:
Logger(std::ostream& stream)
: m_stream(stream) {}
// Some actually useful methods here
};
```

```
class Logger {
private:
    std::ostream& m_stream;
public:
    Logger(std::ostream& stream)
    : m_stream(stream) {}

// Some actually useful methods here
};
```

```
1 class MyClass {
2 private:
3    std::ofstream m_file;
4    Logger m_logger;
5 public:
6    enum LogTarget { Stdout, File };
7    MyClass(LogTarget target);
8 };
```

```
class MyClass {
private:
    std::ofstream m_file;
    Logger m_logger;
public:
    enum LogTarget { Stdout, File };
    MyClass(LogTarget target);
};
```

```
1 class MyClass {
2 private:
3    std::ofstream m_file;
4    Logger m_logger;
5 public:
6    enum LogTarget { Stdout, File };
7    MyClass(LogTarget target);
8 };
```

```
class MyClass {
private:
    std::ofstream m_file;
    Logger m_logger;
public:
    enum LogTarget { Stdout, File };
    MyClass(LogTarget target);
}
```

```
1 class MyClass {
2 private:
3    std::ofstream m_file;
4    Logger m_logger;
5 public:
6    enum LogTarget { Stdout, File };
7    MyClass(LogTarget target);
8 };
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
  : m_logger(
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
  : m_logger(
    [&]() -> std::ostream& {
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
     : m_logger(
       [&]() -> std::ostream& {
4
5
                   if (target == Stdout)
                     return std::cout;
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
     : m_logger(
       [&]() -> std::ostream& {
                  if (target == Stdout)
5
                    return std::cout;
6
                  while (!m_file.is_open())
                      m file.open(getFilename());
8
                  return m file;
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
     : m_logger(
       [&]() -> std::ostream& {
                  if (target == Stdout)
5
                    return std::cout;
6
                  while (!m_file.is_open())
                      m file.open(getFilename());
8
                  return m file;
                }()
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
     : m_logger(
3
        [&]() -> std::ostream& {
4
5
                   if (target == Stdout)
                     return std::cout;
6
                   while (!m_file.is_open())
                       m file.open(getFilename());
8
                   return m file;
                 }()
10
```

```
MyClass::MyClass(LogTarget target)
      : m_logger(
3
        [&]() -> std::ostream& {
4
5
                    if (target == Stdout)
                      return std::cout;
6
7
                   while (!m_file.is_open())
                        m file.open(getFilename());
8
                   return m file;
                 }()
10
11
12
```

Specifying the return type of a lambda function:

Specifying the return type of a lambda function:

```
▶ []() <u>-> type</u> {}
```

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ []() -> type {}
  - ▶ The parentheses are mandatory in this case

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ []() -> type {}
  - ▶ The parentheses are mandatory in this case
- ► IIFE Immediately-invoked Function Expressions

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ []() -> type {}
  - ► The parentheses are mandatory in this case
- ▶ IIFE Immediately-invoked Function Expressions
  - A term borrowed from JS

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ [] () -> type {}
  - ► The parentheses are mandatory in this case
- ► IIFE Immediately-invoked Function Expressions
  - A term borrowed from JS
  - Sometimes it's useful to declare a lambda function and immediately invoke it

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ []() -> type {}
  - ► The parentheses are mandatory in this case
- ► IIFE Immediately-invoked Function Expressions
  - A term borrowed from JS
  - Sometimes it's useful to declare a lambda function and immediately invoke it
  - Especially useful for initializing things and for simplifying complex decision making logic

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ []() -> type {}
  - ► The parentheses are mandatory in this case
- ► IIFE Immediately-invoked Function Expressions
  - A term borrowed from JS
  - Sometimes it's useful to declare a lambda function and immediately invoke it
  - Especially useful for initializing things and for simplifying complex decision making logic
- First example of capturing

- Specifying the return type of a lambda function:
  - ▶ []() -> type {}
  - ► The parentheses are mandatory in this case
- ► IIFE Immediately-invoked Function Expressions
  - A term borrowed from JS
  - Sometimes it's useful to declare a lambda function and immediately invoke it
  - Especially useful for initializing things and for simplifying complex decision making logic
- First example of capturing
  - Let's dive in

#### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

#### Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

# Capturing

► Can we reuse the lambda function more like we did with the manually-written functor?

# Capturing

- ► Can we reuse the lambda function more like we did with the manually-written functor?
- ► Yes, to some extent

#### Capturing

- ► Can we reuse the lambda function more like we did with the manually-written functor?
- Yes, to some extent
- Capturing is the way to give access for the lambda expression to externally declared variables

► [x] - copy x in

- ► [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"

- ► [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- ▶ [this] get access to all the members of the current object

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- [this] get access to all the members of the current object
  - (if used from inside a member function, of course)

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- [this] get access to all the members of the current object
  - (if used from inside a member function, of course)
  - Including private members!

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- ▶ [this] get access to all the members of the current object
  - (if used from inside a member function, of course)
    - Including private members!
- [=] copy in everything used inside

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- ▶ [this] get access to all the members of the current object
  - (if used from inside a member function, of course)
  - Including private members!
- [=] copy in everything used inside
- ▶ [&] access by-ref everything that used inside

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ► [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ► [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- ▶ [this] get access to all the members of the current object
  - (if used from inside a member function, of course)
  - Including private members!
- [=] copy in everything used inside
- ▶ [&] access by-ref everything that used inside
- [=, &x] copy in everything, except x, which will be used by-ref

- ▶ [x] copy x in
- ▶ [&x] get access to x "by-reference"
- ▶ [x, &y] copy x in and get access to y by-ref
- ▶ [this] get access to all the members of the current object
  - (if used from inside a member function, of course)
    - Including private members!
- [=] copy in everything used inside
- ▶ [&] access by-ref everything that used inside
- [=, &x] copy in everything, except x, which will be used by-ref
- Both "default capturing" options capture also this, if available and relevant

#### Capturing - The Risks

► When capturing something by-ref, lifetime issues must be considered

#### Capturing - The Risks

- When capturing something by-ref, lifetime issues must be considered
- E.g. returning a lambda from a function or passing it as a callback to something that will stay alive after the current scope

► Finally having finally in C++

- ► Finally having finally in C++
- We all know and love std::unique\_ptr, std::shared\_ptr, std::lock\_guard and more RAII tools from the standard library

- ► Finally having finally in C++
- We all know and love std::unique\_ptr, std::shared\_ptr, std::lock\_guard and more RAII tools from the standard library
- Occasionally, we write a class of our own to handle a specific resource / usage in our application

- ► Finally having finally in C++
- We all know and love std::unique\_ptr, std::shared\_ptr, std::lock\_guard and more RAII tools from the standard library
- Occasionally, we write a class of our own to handle a specific resource / usage in our application
  - ► The c-tor creates the resource / takes ownership

- ► Finally having finally in C++
- We all know and love std::unique\_ptr, std::shared\_ptr, std::lock\_guard and more RAII tools from the standard library
- Occasionally, we write a class of our own to handle a specific resource / usage in our application
  - ► The c-tor creates the resource / takes ownership
  - The d-tor destroys it / does any cleanup task needed

- ► Finally having finally in C++
- We all know and love std::unique\_ptr, std::shared\_ptr, std::lock\_guard and more RAII tools from the standard library
- Occasionally, we write a class of our own to handle a specific resource / usage in our application
  - ► The c-tor creates the resource / takes ownership
  - ► The d-tor destroys it / does any cleanup task needed
- Sometimes we want an ad-hoc tool for use only once in the code

# ScopeGuard - Usage

```
variant t vtProp;
  auto hres =
5
    message.Get(L"Message", 0, &vtProp, 0, 0);
```

# ScopeGuard - Usage

```
variant t vtProp;
  auto hres =
5
    message.Get(L"Message", 0, &vtProp, 0, 0);
6
  CComSafeArray < uint8 t > arr;
  arr.Attach(vtProp.parray);
```

# ScopeGuard - Usage

```
variant t vtProp;
   auto hres =
5
     message.Get(L"Message", 0, &vtProp, 0, 0);
6
   CComSafeArray < uint8 t > arr;
8
   arr.Attach(vtProp.parray);
   // DON'T FORGET TO DETACH AT THE END
10
```

# ScopeGuard - Usage

```
variant t vtProp;
   auto hres =
5
     message.Get(L"Message", 0, &vtProp, 0, 0);
6
   CComSafeArray < uint8 t > arr;
8
   arr.Attach(vtProp.parray);
   // DON'T FORGET TO DETACH AT THE END
10
   const auto detach = makeScopeGuard([&arr] () {
11
                                  arr.Detach();
12
                          });
```

# ScopeGuard - Usage

```
// ...
   variant t vtProp;
   auto hres =
5
     message.Get(L"Message", 0, &vtProp, 0, 0);
6
   CComSafeArray<uint8 t> arr;
8
   arr.Attach(vtProp.parray);
   // DON'T FORGET TO DETACH AT THE END
10
   const auto detach = makeScopeGuard([&arr] () {
11
                                 arr.Detach();
12
                          });
13
14
   // Continue working; no fear of throwing exceptions
15
```

#### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

▶ We saved the lambda function till now by using auto

- We saved the lambda function till now by using auto
- ► Lambda function is a compiler-generated type that is different for each lambda function

- We saved the lambda function till now by using auto
- ► Lambda function is a compiler-generated type that is different for each lambda function
- ► Lambda that captures nothing can be "converted" to a function pointer with the matching signature

- We saved the lambda function till now by using auto
- ► Lambda function is a compiler-generated type that is different for each lambda function
- ► Lambda that captures nothing can be "converted" to a function pointer with the matching signature
- Every lambda can be saved inside std::function object with the matching signature

- We saved the lambda function till now by using auto
- ► Lambda function is a compiler-generated type that is different for each lambda function
- ► Lambda that captures nothing can be "converted" to a function pointer with the matching signature
- Every lambda can be saved inside std::function object with the matching signature

```
1 std::function<void(int)> f = [](int i){
2          std::cout << i << '\n';
3 };</pre>
```

- We saved the lambda function till now by using auto
- Lambda function is a compiler-generated type that is different for each lambda function
- ► Lambda that captures nothing can be "converted" to a function pointer with the matching signature
- Every lambda can be saved inside std::function object with the matching signature

```
1 std::function<void(int)> f = [](int i){
2          std::cout << i << '\n';
3 };</pre>
```

 Less recommended due to a non-trivial overhead, but sometimes we have no better choice

# **Specifiers**

▶ mutable allows mutating variables captured by-value

### **Specifiers**

- ▶ mutable allows mutating variables captured by-value
- ▶ noexcept can be used if desired

## **Specifiers**

- mutable allows mutating variables captured by-value
- noexcept can be used if desired
- ▶ Both are coming after the ( )

#### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

Summary

# Capturing Improvements

▶ With C++11, capturing move-only types wasn't great

# Capturing Improvements

- ▶ With C++11, capturing move-only types wasn't great
- Could be captured by-ref, but this isn't always desired

### Capturing Improvements

- ▶ With C++11, capturing move-only types wasn't great
- Could be captured by-ref, but this isn't always desired
- ▶ With C++14, init-capture allowed, enabling usage of move-only types but many other use-cases

▶ [p = std::move(ptr)] - moves ptr in and call it p

- ► [p = std::move(ptr)] moves ptr in and call it p
- ► This allows "renaming" in general:

- ▶ [p = std::move(ptr)] moves ptr in and call it p
- ▶ This allows "renaming" in general:
  - ► [x = y] copy y in but call it x inside

- ▶ [p = std::move(ptr)] moves ptr in and call it p
- ► This allows "renaming" in general:
  - [x = y] copy y in but call it x inside
  - ▶ [&r = p] user the name r inside as a reference to p

- [p = std::move(ptr)] moves ptr in and call it p
- ▶ This allows "renaming" in general:
  - [x = y] copy y in but call it x inside
  - ▶ [&r = p] user the name r inside as a reference to p
- Or even introducing new variables:

- ▶ [p = std::move(ptr)] moves ptr in and call it p
- ▶ This allows "renaming" in general:
  - [x = y] copy y in but call it x inside
  - ▶ [&r = p] user the name r inside as a reference to p
- Or even introducing new variables:
  - ► [answer = 42] create a variable answer inside with type int and initialize it to 42

- [p = std::move(ptr)] moves ptr in and call it p
- ▶ This allows "renaming" in general:
  - [x = y] copy y in but call it x inside
  - ▶ [&r = p] user the name r inside as a reference to p
- Or even introducing new variables:
  - [answer = 42] create a variable answer inside with type int and initialize it to 42
- ScopeGuard became more usable

► Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too

- Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too
- ▶ As long as all of them agree on the same type!

- Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too
- As long as all of them agree on the same type!
- Explicitly stating the return type is still usefult if:

- Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too
- As long as all of them agree on the same type!
- Explicitly stating the return type is still usefult if:
  - ► The deduced type isn't what we want (e.g. adding ref)

- Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too
- As long as all of them agree on the same type!
- Explicitly stating the return type is still usefult if:
  - ► The deduced type isn't what we want (e.g. adding ref)
  - Deduction fails for differences between return statments (e.g. int vs. double, MyObj\* vs. nullptr)

- Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too
- As long as all of them agree on the same type!
- Explicitly stating the return type is still usefult if:
  - ► The deduced type isn't what we want (e.g. adding ref)
  - Deduction fails for differences between return statments (e.g. int vs. double, MyObj\* vs. nullptr)
- ▶ BTW, regular functions got this feature too for C++14; you can use just auto for the return type

- Return type deduction works with multiple return statements too
- As long as all of them agree on the same type!
- Explicitly stating the return type is still usefult if:
  - ► The deduced type isn't what we want (e.g. adding ref)
  - Deduction fails for differences between return statments (e.g. int vs. double, MyObj\* vs. nullptr)
- ▶ BTW, regular functions got this feature too for C++14; you can use just auto for the return type
  - (but have the function body available for the compiler wherever used, just like template)

#### Generic Lambda

▶ With C++14, it's possible to use auto for a parameter

#### Generic Lambda

- ▶ With C++14, it's possible to use auto for a parameter
- ▶ It means the resulted lambda object gets a templated operator()

- ▶ With C++14, it's possible to use auto for a parameter
- It means the resulted lambda object gets a templated operator()
- Shorter to write :)

- ▶ With C++14, it's possible to use auto for a parameter
- It means the resulted lambda object gets a templated operator()
- Shorter to write :)
- auto size = [](const auto& m){ return m.size();
  };

- ▶ With C++14, it's possible to use auto for a parameter
- It means the resulted lambda object gets a templated operator()
- Shorter to write :)
- auto size = [](const auto& m){ return m.size();
  };
- Very useful as a visitor for std::variant (C++17)

- ▶ With C++14, it's possible to use auto for a parameter
- It means the resulted lambda object gets a templated operator()
- Shorter to write :)
- auto size = [](const auto& m){ return m.size();
  };
- Very useful as a visitor for std::variant (C++17)
- The conversion to function pointer and std::function still works!

#### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft

constexpr can be specified

- constexpr can be specified
- Automatically constexpr even if not specified as long as it staisfies all the requirements!

- constexpr can be specified
- Automatically constexpr even if not specified as long as it staisfies all the requirements!
- ► For constexpr in general, watching "constexpr ALL the things" is recommended

- constexpr can be specified
- Automatically constexpr even if not specified as long as it staisfies all the requirements!
- ► For constexpr in general, watching "constexpr ALL the things" is recommended
  - ▶ (by Jason Turner and Ben Deane; CppCon2017 and more)

# **Another Capturing Option**

▶ Now it's possible to capture the current object by-value

# **Another Capturing Option**

- ▶ Now it's possible to capture the current object by-value
- ▶ [\*this]

#### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

[=, this] used to be an error, as capturing this is already
done by =

- ► [=, this] used to be an error, as capturing this is already done by =
- ► C++17 added [=, \*this] option

- [=, this] used to be an error, as capturing this is already
  done by =
- ► C++17 added [=, \*this] option
- So people may want to mention explicitly that they do want this by-ref

- [=, this] used to be an error, as capturing this is already
  done by =
- ► C++17 added [=, \*this] option
- So people may want to mention explicitly that they do want this by-ref
- ightharpoonup C++20 allows [=, this] syntax

## Easier Access to Generic Lambda Template

Or: improving diversity and equality:)

## Easier Access to Generic Lambda Template

- ► Or: improving diversity and equality :)
- ▶ [ ] <tparams> ( ) { }

## Easier Access to Generic Lambda Template

- Or: improving diversity and equality:)
- ▶ [ ] <tparams> ( ) { }
- auto glambda = [] < class T > (T a, auto&& b) {
  return a < b; };</pre>

► Today, for using a lambda function as a comparator for a container, we have to do the following:

► C++17 removed the need of repeating (auto-deduction of template arguments for templated classes)

- ► C++17 removed the need of repeating (auto-deduction of template arguments for templated classes)
- ► It's still inconvenient (C++ Weekly Ep 94 Lambdas as Comparators - Jason Turner):

► Today, for using a lambda function as a comparator for a container, we have to do the following:

- ► C++17 removed the need of repeating (auto-deduction of template arguments for templated classes)
- ► It's still inconvenient (C++ Weekly Ep 94 Lambdas as Comparators - Jason Turner):

**◆□▶◆圖▶◆臺▶◆臺▶** 臺 釣९@

- ► C++17 removed the need of repeating (auto-deduction of template arguments for templated classes)
- ► It's still inconvenient (C++ Weekly Ep 94 Lambdas as Comparators - Jason Turner):

#### ▶ With C++20 we can do just:

▶ With C++20 we can do just:

▶ With C++20 we can do just:

It's default desctructible!

▶ With C++20 we can do just:

- It's default desctructible!
- ► We could even write the lambda directly inside the decltype but this is just ugly...

#### Outline

Lambda Function - What?

Motivation

The Solution - Lambda Expression

The Missing Part

Capturing

The Type of a Lambda (and more)

C + +14

C + +17

C++20 (draft)

▶ We have seen the various options of lambda function

- ▶ We have seen the various options of lambda function
- ► Learned the syntax

- We have seen the various options of lambda function
- ► Learned the syntax
- ▶ Learned the evolution of it over the standard versions

- We have seen the various options of lambda function
- Learned the syntax
- ▶ Learned the evolution of it over the standard versions
- Saw a few interesting usages

- We have seen the various options of lambda function
- Learned the syntax
- ▶ Learned the evolution of it over the standard versions
- Saw a few interesting usages
- Please don't overuse!